	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/965,084	WARREN, DARREN S.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Terrence R. Till	1744
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Terrence R. Till</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>C. Lackey</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 July 2004	Time: <u>1:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 5, 7, 12, 20-22		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was indicated that claims 5 and 7 had the wrong status, but the requirement would be waived. Claims 12 and 20-22 are duplicate claims of 7 and 15-17, respectively.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
and not result in resolution of an issues. A biter summary	by the examiner appears in r	an ii above.
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		